

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/090,236	03/04/2002	David Harold Goode	SVL920010060US1	6572	
75	7590 06/07/2006			EXAMINER	
Paul D Greeley		WOOD, WILLIAM H			
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. 10th Floor					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Landmark S		2193			
Stamford, CT 06901-2682			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/090,236	GOODE, DAVID HAROLD		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		William H. Wood	2193		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 March 2006</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			

á. .

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-23 are pending and have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The independent claims recite a "source code" instruction to access memory whether "permanent" or a "memory file". The specification uses C/C++ as an example of a high-level programming language of source code instructions. One of ordinary skill in the art would not be enabled to use high-level instructions (C/C++) to manipulate low-level memory operations. High-level instructions do not manipulate low-level memory operations as claimed. Memory management is controlled, for example, by the operating system. Cache management may even be performed in hardware. Further, the specification make use of "fopen" as an example of a presumable memory instruction, however the argument format of the example

Application/Control Number: 10/090,236 Page 3

Art Unit: 2193

"fopen" (page 8, line 12) is unknown. Therefore, the independent claims are rejected for failing to be enabling. The dependent claims do not correct the situation. Correction may involve elaboration as to the claimed "instruction" or the claimed "permanent file" or "memory file" in relation to the claimed high-level source code.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 06 March 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the claimed invention is enabled by the specification. Applicant states the specification (page 9, line 15 to page 10, line 28) and figures (3A) provide a detailed description of the process of using a memory file. Yet this quotation provides no indication of the required claim language "source code". Further, Applicant asserts "fopen" is a basic function of C/C++, which is correct. However, Applicant then asserts the argument format with a parameter "type=memory" would be easily determined by one of ordinary skill in the art. This is untrue and unsupported by any factual evidence or reasoning on Applicant's part. Referring to Atkinson (page 68, under "Opening the File") "fopen" does not contain a parameter "type=memory" as Applicant contends. Applicant's specification does not enable the use of this apparently new command/instruction or parameter. Thus, the rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,236 Page 4

Art Unit: 2193

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

"stdio.h"; The Single UNIX Specification, Version 2; The Open Group; 1997; pp. 1-4.

Atkinson et al.; "Using C", QUE Corporation; 1990; pp. 66-70.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2193

Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Wood whose telephone number is (571)-272-3736. The examiner can normally be reached 9:00am - 5:30pm Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571)-272-3719. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained form either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR systems, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. For questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

William H. Wood Patent Examiner AU 2193 May 17, 2006

WEI ZHEN
TENUSORY PATENT EXAMINER